arXiv:1508.01592v1 [math.DS] 7 Aug 2015

Stochastic impulsive fractional differential evolution equations with infinite delay

Shufen Zhao Minghui Song

August 10, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate a class of stochastic impulsive fractional differential evolution equations with infinite delay in Banach space. Firstly sufficient conditions of the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution for this type of equations are derived by means of the successive approximation. Then we use the Bihari's inequality to get the stability in mean square of the mild solution. Finally an example is presented to illustrate the results.

Keywords Stochastic impulsive fractional differential evolution equation; Mild solution; The Bihari's inequality; Successive approximation; Banach space.

Mathematics Subject Classfication 34K30; 34F05; 60H30.

1 Introduction

In resent years, the differential equations of fractional order have been widely studied by many authors (see [1, 12, 14, 26, 24, 19] and references therein) due to their application in many practical dynamical phenomena arising in engineering, physics, economy and science [6, 5, 3, 18, 9]. Very recently, the author of [25] established the sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of mild solution for impulsive fractional integro-differential evolution equations with infinite delay by means of the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness and progressive estimation method.

It is well known that time delay phenomena are frequently encountered in a variety of dynamic systems such as nuclear reactors, chemical engineering systems, biological systems and population dynamic models, at the same time environment noise often perturbed many branches of science, for this reason when we take environment noise and time delays into account (see [2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17]), it is reasonable to consider the stochastic fractional evolution equations with delays.

This paper is concerned with the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for Cauchy problems for the stochastic impulsive fractional evolution equations:

$$\begin{cases} D_t^{\alpha}[x'(t) - g(t, x_t)] = Ax(t) + f(t, x_t) + \sigma(t, x_t)\frac{\mathrm{d}w(t)}{\mathrm{d}t},\ t \in J,\ t \neq t_i, \\ \triangle x(t_i) = I_i(x_{t_i}),\ \triangle x'(t_i) = J_i(x_{t_i}),\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \\ x_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B},\ x_0' = x_1 \in H \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $J = [0, b]$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, D_t^{α} denotes the Caputo fractional derivative operator of order α. $A : D(A) \subset H \to H$ is the infinitesimal generator of a sectorial operator. g, $f : J \times \mathcal{B} \to H$ and $\sigma : J \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{L}(G, H)$ are appropriate mappings. Here $\mathcal{B}$ is an abstract phase space to be defined later. The history $x_t : (-\infty, 0] \to H$, $x_t(s) = x(t + s), s \leq 0$ belongs to the abstract phase space $\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, we denotes $\triangle x(t_i) = x(t_i^+) - x(t_i^-)$ for $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m < t_{m+1} = b$ which are fixed numbers. Let $x(t_i^+)$ and $x(t_i^-)$ represent the right and the left limits of $x(t)$ at $t = t_i$ respectively, Similarly $\triangle x'(t_i) = x'(t_i^+) - x'(t_i^-)$ has the same meaning.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space equipped with some filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions, i.e., the filtration is right continuous and increasing while $\mathcal{F}_0$ contains all P-null sets. H, G be two real separable Hilbert spaces. $< \cdot, \cdot >_H$, $< \cdot, \cdot >_G$ denote the inner products on H and G, respectively. And $| \cdot |_H$, $| \cdot |_G$ are vector norms on H, G. Let $\mathcal{L}(G, H)$ be the collection of all inner bounded operators from G into H, with the usual operator norm $\| \cdot \|$. The symbol $\{w(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a G valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ Wiener process defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with covariance operator Q, i.e.

$$\mathbf{E} < w(t), x >_G < w(s), y >_G = (t \wedge s) < Qx, y >_G,\ \forall x, y \in G$$

where Q is a positive, self-adjoint and trace class operator on K. In particular, we regard $\{w(t), t \geq 0\}$ as a G valued Q wiener process related to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ (see [2, 13]), and $w(t)$ is defined as

$$w(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_n}\beta_n(t)e_n,\ t \geq 0,$$

where $\beta_n(t)\,(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$ is a sequence of real valued standard Brownian motions mutually independent on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, let λ_n $(n \in \mathbf{N})$ are the eigenvalues of Q and e_n $(n \in \mathbf{N})$ are the eigenvectors of λ_n corresponding to λ_n. That is

$$Qe_n = \lambda_n e_n,\ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots.$$

In order to define stochastic integrals with respect to the Q wiener process $w(t)$, we let $G_0 = Q^{1/2}(G)$ of G with the inner product,

$$< u, v >_{G_0} = < Q^{1/2}u, Q^{1/2}v >_G .$$

It's easy to see G_0 is the subspace of G. Let $\mathcal{L}_2^0 = \mathcal{L}_2(G_0, H)$ denote the collection of all Hilbert Schmidt operators from G_0 into H. It turns out to be a separable Hilbert space equipped with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^0}^2 = tr\big((\psi Q^{1/2})(\psi Q^{1/2})^*\big), \quad \forall\, \psi \in \mathcal{L}_2^0.$$

Clearly, for any bounded operator $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(G, H)$, this norm reduces to $\|\psi\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2^0} = tr(\psi Q \psi^*)$.

Let $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to \mathcal{L}_2^0$ be a predictable and $\mathcal{F}_t$ adapted process such that

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{E}\|\Phi(s)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2^0} \mathrm{d}s < \infty, \forall t > 0.$$

Then we can define the H valued stochastic integral

$$\int_0^t \Phi(s) \mathrm{d}w(s),$$

which is a continuous square-integrable martingale [21]. In the following we assume $\sigma : J \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{L}_2^0$ in (1). To the best of our knowledge this is the first time to consider the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution for Cauchy problem (1).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some preliminaries which are used in this paper. In section 3, we give sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution of system (1). In section 4, some sufficient conditions are introduced to guarantee the stability in mean square of the mild solution. In the last section, we present an example to support the results.

2 Preliminaries

The collection of all strongly measurable, square-integrable and H-valued random variables, denoted by $L_2(\Omega, H)$ is a Banach space equipped with norm $\|x(\cdot)\|_{L_2} = (\mathbf{E}\|x(\cdot)\|^2_H)^{1/2}$, where the expectation $\mathbf{E}$ is defined as $\mathbf{E}x = \int_\Omega x(\omega)\mathrm{d}P$. Next, we present an axiomatic definition of the phase space $\mathcal{B}$ introduced in [8] and [23], where the axioms of the space $\mathcal{B}$ are established for $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable functions from $(-\infty, 0]$ into H, with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ which satisfying

(A1) If $x : (-\infty, b] \to H$, $b > 0$ is such that $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, then, for every $t \in J$, the following conditions hold:

(1) $x_t \in \mathcal{B}$,

(2) $|x(t)| \leq L\|x_t\|_{\mathcal{B}}$,

(3) $\|x_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \Gamma(t)\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x(s)| + N(t)\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{B}}$,

where $L > 0$ is a constant; Γ, $N : [0, +\infty) \to [1, +\infty)$ are mappings. Γ is continuous and N is locally bounded. L, Γ, N are independent on $x(\cdot)$.

(A2) The space $\mathcal{B}$ is complete.

Then we have the following useful lemma (see [23]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $x : (-\infty, b] \to H$ be an $\mathcal{F}_t$ adapted measurable process such that the $\mathcal{F}_0$ adapted process $x_0 = \varphi \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$, then*

$$\mathbf{E}\|x_s\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq N_b E\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \Gamma_b \mathbf{E}(\sup_{0\leq s\leq b} \|x(s)\|), \tag{2}$$

where $N_b = \sup_{t\in J}\{N(t)\}$ and $\Gamma_b = \sup_{t\in J}\{\Gamma(t)\}$.

Definition 2.1. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^2((-\infty, b], H)$ be the space of all H-valued càdlàg measurable $\mathcal{F}_t$ adapted process $x = \{x(t)\}_{-\infty<t\leq b}$ such that

(i) $x_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x(t)$ is càdlàg on $[0, b]$;

(ii) endow the space $\mathcal{M}^2((-\infty, b], H)$ with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{M}^2} = \mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|^2_{\mathcal{B}} + \mathbf{E}(\sup_{t\in J} |x(t)|^2) < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Then $\mathcal{M}^2((-\infty, b], H)$ with the norm (3) is a Banach space, in the following of this paper, we use $\|\cdot\|$ for this norm.

Definition 2.2. A stochastic process $x(t) : t \in (-\infty, b] \to H$ is called a mild solution of (1) if

(i) $x(t)$ is measurable and $\mathcal{F}_t$ adapted for all $t \in (-\infty, b]$, and $\{x_t : t \in [0, b]\}$ is $\mathcal{B}$-valued;

(ii) $\int_0^b \|x(s)\|^2 \mathrm{d}s < \infty$,P-a.s.;

(iii) $x(t)$ has càdlàg path on $t \in [0, b]$ a.s. and $x(t)$ satisfies the following integral equation for each $t \in [0, b]$,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) \;&=\; S_q(t)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t S_q[x_1 - g(0,\varphi)]\mathrm{d}s + \sum_{t_i<t} S_q(t-t_i)I_i(x_{t_i}) \qquad (4)\\ &+ \sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^t S_q(t-s)[J_i(x_{t_i}) - g(t_i, x_{t_i} + I_i(x_{t_i})) + g(t_i, x_{t_i})]\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t S_q(t-s)g(s,x_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t T_q(t-s)f(s,x_s)\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t T_q(t-s)\sigma(s,x_s)\mathrm{d}w(s), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_q(t)$, $T_q(t) : \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathcal{L}(K, H)$ $(q = 1 + \alpha)$ are given by

$$S_q(t) = E_{q,1}(At^q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{B_r} \frac{e^{\lambda t}\lambda^{q-1}}{\lambda^q - A}\mathrm{d}\lambda, \tag{5}$$

$$T_q(t) = t^{q-1}E_{q,q}(At^q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{B_r} \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda^q - A}\mathrm{d}\lambda, \tag{6}$$

and B_r denotes the Bromwich path [25];

(iv) $x_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B}$.

Remark 2.1. We should mention an important property of $S_\gamma(t)$ and $T_\gamma(t)$, that is there exist positive numbers M, M_b such that $\|S_\gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(G,H)} \leq M$ and $\|T_\gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(G,H)} \leq t^{\gamma-1}M_b$ for $t \in J$, $\gamma \in (0,2)$ ([14]), which plays an important role in the following discussion.

Lemma 2.2. *(Bihari's inequality) Assume* $T > 0$, $u_0 \geq 0$ *and* $u(t)$, $v(t)$ *be continuous functions on* $[0,T]$. *Let* $\kappa : \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}_+$ *be a concave continuous and nondecreasing function such that* $\kappa(r) > 0$ *for all* $r > 0$. *If*

$$u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_0^t v(s)\kappa(u(s))\mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

then

$$u(t) \leq G^{-1}(G(u_0) + \int_0^t v(s)\mathrm{d}s)$$

and for all $t \in [0,T]$, *it holds that*

$$G(u_0) + \int_0^t v(s)\mathrm{d}s \in Dom(G^{-1}),$$

where $G(r) = \int_1^r \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\kappa(s)}$, $r \geq 0$ *and* G^{-1} *is the inverse function of* G. *In particular, if* $u_0 = 0$ *and* $\int_{0+} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\kappa(s)} = \infty$, *then* $u(t) = 0$ *for all* $0 \leq t \leq T$.

The following lemma is useful in the proof of the exponential stability of the mild solution which is an analogue of Theorem 18 of [23].

Lemma 2.3. *[22] Let the assumption of Lemma 2.2 hold and* $v(t) \geq 0$ *for all* $t \in [0,T]$. *If for all* $\epsilon > 0$, *there exists* $t_1 \geq 0$ *for all* $0 \leq u_0 \leq \epsilon$, $\int_{t_1}^T v(s)\mathrm{d}s \leq \int_{u_0}^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\kappa(s)}\mathrm{d}s$ *holds. Then for every* $t \in [t_1, T]$, *the estimates* $u(t) \leq \epsilon$ *holds.*

3 Existence of the mild solution

In this section we first make the following hypotheses.

(H1) $g, f : J \times \mathcal{B} \to H$ and $\sigma : J \times \mathcal{B} \to H$ satisfy $|g(t,\varphi)-g(t,\phi)|^2 \vee |f(t,\varphi)-f(t,\phi)|^2 \vee |\sigma(t,\varphi)-\sigma(t,\phi)|^2 \leq \kappa(\|\varphi-\phi\,|_{\mathcal{B}}^2)$, for all $t \in J, \varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\kappa(\cdot)$ is a concave, nondecreasing and continuous function from $\mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $\kappa(0) = 0$, $\kappa(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$ and $\int_{0+} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\kappa(s)} = \infty$.

(H2) $I_k, J_k : \mathcal{B} \to H$ are continuous and there are positive constants p_k, $q_k (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ such that for each $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$|I_k(\varphi) - I_k(\phi)|^2 \leq p_k\|\varphi - \phi\|^2,\ |J_k(\varphi) - J_k(\phi)|^2 \leq q_k\|\varphi - \phi\|^2.$$

(H3) $|g(t,0)|^2 \vee |f(t,0)|^2 \vee |\sigma(t,0)|^2 \leq K$, K is a positive constant, and $I_k(0) = 0,\ J_k(0) = 0, k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

We consider the sequence of successive approximations defined as follows:

$$x^0(t) = S_q(t)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t S_q(s)[x_1 - g(0,\varphi)]\mathrm{d}s,\ t \in J, \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^n(t) &= S_q(t)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t S_q(s)[x_1 - g(0,\varphi)]\mathrm{d}s + \sum_{t_i<t} S_q(t-t_i)I_i(x^{n-1}_{t_i}) \\ &+ \sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^t S_q(t-s)[J_i(x^{n-1}_{t_i}) - g(t_i, x^{n-1}_{t_i} + I_i(x^{n-1}_{t_i})) + g(t_i, x^{n-1}_{t_i})]\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t S_q(t-s)g(s, x^{n-1}_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t T_q(t-s)f(s, x^{n-1}_s)\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t T_q(t-s)\sigma(s, x^{n-1}_s)\mathrm{d}w(s),\ t \in J, n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$x^n(t) = \varphi(t),\ -\infty < t \leq 0, n \geq 1. \tag{9}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Assume the (H1)-(H3) hold, and*

$$7mM^2\Gamma_b \sum_{i=1}^m p_i + 14mM^2b^2\Gamma_b \sum_{i=1}^m q_i < 1,$$

then $x^n(t) \in \mathcal{M}^2((-\infty, b); H)$ for all $t \in (-\infty, b]$, $n \geq 0$, that is

$$\mathbf{E}\|x^n(t)\|^2 \leq \tilde{M},\ n = 1, 2 \ldots. \tag{10}$$

where $\tilde{M}$ is a positive constant.

Proof. Obviously $x^0(t) \in \mathcal{M}^2((-\infty, b), H)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}|X^n(t)|^2 \\ &\leq 7\mathbf{E}|S_q(t)\varphi(0)|^2 + 7\mathbf{E}|\int_0^t S_q(s)[x_1 - g(0,\varphi)]\mathrm{d}s|^2 \\ &\quad +7\mathbf{E}|\sum_{t_i<t} S_q(t-t_i)I_i(x^{n-1}_{t_i})|^2 \\ &\quad +7\mathbf{E}|\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^t S_q(t-s)[J_i(x^{n-1}_{t_i}) - g(t_i, x^{n-1}_{t_i} + I_i(x^{n-1}_{t_i})) + g(t_i, x^{n-1}_{t_i})]\mathrm{d}s|^2 \\ &\quad +7\mathbf{E}|\int_0^t S_q(t-s)g(s, x^{n-1}_s)\mathrm{d}s|^2 + 7E|\int_0^t T_q(t-s)f(s, x^{n-1}_s)\mathrm{d}s)|^2 \\ &\quad +7\mathbf{E}|\int_0^t T_q(t-s)\sigma(s, x^{n-1}_s)\mathrm{d}w(s)|^2 \\ &= \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_4 + \Lambda_5 + \Lambda_6 + \Lambda_7. \end{aligned}$$

It's easy to get the estimations $\Lambda_1 \leq 7M^2\mathbf{E}|\varphi(0)|^2$, $\Lambda_2 \leq 21M^2b^2(|x_1|^2 + \kappa(\|\varphi\|^2_{\mathcal{B}}) + K)$, and

$$\Lambda_3 \leq 7mM^2 \sum_{t_i<t} \mathbf{E}\|I_i(x^{n-1}_{t_i})\|^2_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 7mM^2 \sum_{t_i<t} p_i\mathbf{E}\|x^{n-1}_{t_i}\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

By the fact $\|S_\gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(G,H)} \leq M$ and (H2)-(H3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_4 \quad &\leq \quad 14\mathbf{E}|\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t} S_q(t-s)J_i(x^n_{t_i})\mathrm{d}s|^2 \\
&\quad\; +14\mathbf{E}|\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t} S_q(t-s)(g(t_i, x^{n-1}_{t_i} + I_i(x^{n-1}_{t_i})) - g(t_i, x^{n-1}_{t_i}))\mathrm{d}s|^2 \\
&\leq \quad 14mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}\mathbf{E}\int_{t_i}^{t} |J_i(x_{t_i})|^2\mathrm{d}s + 14mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}\mathbf{E}\int_{t_i}^{t}\kappa(|I(x^{n-1}_{t_i})|^2)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \quad 14mM^2b^2\sum_{t_i<t} q_i\mathbf{E}\|x^{n-1}_{t_i}\|^2_{\mathcal{B}} + 14mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}\kappa(\mathbf{E}(p_i\|x^{n-1}_{t_i})\|^2_{\mathcal{B}})\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_5 \quad &\leq \quad 7\mathbf{E}|\int_0^t S_q(t-s)g(s, x^{n-1}_s)\mathrm{d}s|^2 \\
&\leq \quad 7M^2b\mathbf{E}\int_0^t |g(s, x^{n-1}_s) - g(s,0) + g(s,0)|^2\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \quad 14M^2b\mathbf{E}\int_0^t [|g(s, x^{n-1}_s) - g(s,0)|^2 + |g(s,0)|^2]\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \quad 14M^2b\int_0^t \kappa(\|x^{n-1}_s\|^2_{\mathcal{B}})\mathrm{d}s + 14M^2b^2K.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|T_\gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(G,H)} \leq t^{\gamma-1}M_b$ and (H1)-(H3), we get the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_6 \quad &\leq \quad 7\mathbf{E}|\int_0^t T_q(t-s)f(s, x^{n-1}_s)\mathrm{d}s|^2 \\
&\leq \quad 7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}\mathbf{E}\int_0^t |f(s, x^{n-1}_s) - f(s,0) + f(s,0)|^2\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \quad 14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}\int_0^t \kappa(\mathbf{E}\|x^{n-1}_s\|^2_{\mathcal{B}})\mathrm{d}s + 14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q}}{2q-1}K.
\end{aligned}$$

We apply the Hölder inequality and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality to

Λ_7, combining(H1)-(H3), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_7 &\leq 7\mathbf{E}\Big|\int_0^t T_q(t-s)\sigma(s,x_s^{n-1})\mathrm{d}w(s)\Big|^2\\
&\leq 7\mathbf{E}\int_0^t |T_q(t-s)\sigma(s,x_s^{n-1})|^2\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leq 7M_b^2 b^{2q-2}\mathbf{E}\int_0^t |\sigma(s,x_s^{n-1})-\sigma(s,0)+\sigma(s,0)|^2\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leq 14M_b^2 b^{2q-2}\int_0^t \kappa(\mathbf{E}\|x_s^{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2)\mathrm{d}s+14M_b^2b^{2q-1}K.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= 7M^2\mathbf{E}|\varphi(0)|^2+21M^2b^2(|x_1|^2+\kappa(\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2)+K)\\
&\quad +14M^2b^2K+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q}}{2q-1}K+14M_b^2b^{2q-1},
\end{aligned}$$

the estimations for Λ_i (i=1,2,...,7) together yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}|X^n(t)|^2 &\leq c_1+7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i\mathbf{E}\|x_{t_i}^{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}}+14mM^2b^2\sum_{t_i<t}q_i\mathbf{E}\|x_{t_i}^{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2\\
&\quad +14mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^t \kappa(\mathbf{E}(p_i\|x_{t_i}^{n-1})\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\quad +(14M^2b+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+14M_b^2b^{2q-2})\int_0^t \kappa(\|x_s^{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2)\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the property of $\kappa(\cdot)$, we can find a pair of positive constants α and β, such that $\kappa(u)\leq\alpha+\beta u,\quad \forall u\geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|X^n(s)|^2\\
\leq\ & c_1+7mM^2N_b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}+14mM^2b^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}+14m^2M^2b^2\alpha\\
&+(14M^2b+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+14M_b^2b^{2q-2})b\alpha\\
&+(7mM^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+14mM^2b^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|X^{n-1}(s)|^2\\
&+(14mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+14M^2b+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+14M_b^2b^{2q-2})\\
&\quad\times\beta\mathbf{E}\int_0^t\sup_{0\leq\theta\leq s}|x^{n-1}(\theta)|\mathrm{d}s,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1\leq n\leq k}\{\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^n(s)|^2\}\\
&\leq c_1+7mM^2N_b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}+14mM^2b^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}+14m^2M^2b\alpha\\
&\quad+(14M^2b+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1})b\alpha\\
&\quad+(7mM^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+14mM^2b^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)\max_{1\leq n\leq k}\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|X^n(s)|^2\\
&\quad+(14mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+14M^2b+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1})\\
&\qquad\times\beta\int_0^t\max_{1\leq n\leq k}\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^n(s)|^2\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

If we let

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2 \quad=\quad & \frac{c_1+7mM^2N_b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}+14mM^2b^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{1-7mM^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i-14mM^2b^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i}\\
&+\frac{14m^2M^2b\alpha+(14M^2b^2+14M_b^2b^{2q}+14M_b^2b^{2q})\alpha}{1-7mM^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i-14mM^2b^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i}
\end{aligned}$$

$$c_3=\frac{(14mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+14M^2b+14M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+14M_b^2b^{2q-2})\beta}{1-7mM^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}p_i-14mM^2b^2\Gamma_b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i};$$

then

$$\max_{1\leq n\leq k}\{\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|X^n(s)|^2\}\leq c_2+c_3\int_0^t\max_{1\leq n\leq k}\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^n(s)|^2\mathrm{d}s, \tag{11}$$

by the Gronwall inequality we have

$$\max_{1\leq n\leq k}\{\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^n(s)|^2\}\leq c_2e^{c_3}.$$

Due to the arbitrary of k, we have

$$\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^n(s)|^2\leq c_2e^{c_3},\ \text{for all}\,0\leq t\leq b,\ n\geq 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\|x^n(t)\|^2\leq\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2+\mathbf{E}(\sup_{0\leq s\leq b}|x^n(s)|^2)\leq\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2+c_2e^{c_3}<\infty, \tag{12}$$

so we can take $\tilde{M}=\mathbf{E}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2+c_2e^{c_3}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *If (H1)-(H3) and*

$$\max\{7mM^2\Gamma_b\sum_{i=1}^{m}p_i+14mM^2b^2\Gamma_b\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_i, 7mM^2\sum_{i=1}^{m}p_i+7mM^2b\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_i\}<1 \tag{13}$$

hold, then the Cauchy problem (1) has a unique mild solution on $(-\infty, b]$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&|x^{n+l}(t)-x^n(t)|^2\\
&=|\sum_{t_i<t}S_q(t-t_i)[I_i(x_{t_i}^{l+n-1})-I_i(x_{t_i}^{n-1})]\\
&\quad+\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}S_q(t-s)[J(x_{t_i}^{l+n-1})-J(x_{t_i}^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}s\\
&\quad-\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}S_q(t-s)[g(t_i,x_{t_i}^{l+n-1}+I_i(x_{t_i}^{l+n-1}))-g(t_i,x_{t_i}^{n-1}+I_i(x_{t_i}^{n-1}))\\
&\qquad+g(t_i,x_{t_i}^{l+n-1})-g(t_i,x_{t_i}^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}s\\
&\quad+\int_0^t S_q(t-s)[g(s,x_s^{n+l-1})-g(s,x_s^{n-1})]+T_q(t-s)[f(s,x_s^{n+l-1})-f(s,x_s^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}s\\
&\quad+\int_0^t T_q(t-s)[\sigma(s,x_s^{n+l-1})-\sigma(s,x_s^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}w(s)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the fact $\|S_\gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(G,H)}\le M$, $\|T_\gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(G,H)}\le t^{\gamma-1}M_b$ for $t\in J$ and (H1)-(H3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|x^{n+l}(t)-x^n(t)|^2\\
&\le 7|\sum_{t_i<t}S_q(t-t_i)[I_i(x_{t_i}^{n+l-1})-I_i(x_{t_i}^{n-1})]|^2\\
&+7|\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}S_q(t-s)[J(x_{t_i}^{n+l-1})-J(x_{t_i}^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}s|^2\\
&+7|\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}S_q(t-s)[g(t_i,x_{t_i}^{l+n-1}+I_i(x_{t_i}^{l+n-1}))-g(t_i,x_{t_i}^{n-1}+I_i(x_{t_i}^{n-1}))]\mathrm{d}s|^2\\
&+7|\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}S_q(t-s)[g(t_i,x_{t_i}^{l+n-1})-g(t_i,x_{t_i}^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}s|^2\\
&+7|\int_0^t S_q(t-s)[g(s,x_s^{n+l-1})-g(s,x_s^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}s|^2\\
&+|\int_0^t T_q(t-s)[f(s,x_s^{n+l-1})-f(s,x_s^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}s|^2\\
&+7|\int_0^t T_q(t-s)[\sigma(s,x_s^{n+l-1})-\sigma(s,x_s^{n-1})]\mathrm{d}w(s)|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq\ & 7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^{l+n-1}(s)-x^{n-1}(s)|^2\\
&+7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^{l+n-1}(s)-x^{n-1}(s)|^2\\
&+7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}\kappa((1+p_i)\sup_{0\leq s\leq t_i}|x^{l+n-1}(s)-x^{n-1}(s)|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&+7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}\kappa(\sup_{0\leq s\leq t_i}|x^{l+n-1}(s)-x^{n-1}(s)|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&+7M^2b\int_0^t\kappa(\sup_{0\leq r\leq s}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&+7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}\int_0^t\kappa(\sup_{0\leq r\leq s}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&+7M_b^2b^{2q-2}\int_0^t\kappa(\sup_{0\leq r\leq s}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
=\ & (7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^{l+n-1}(s)-x^{n-1}(s)|^2\\
&+7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^{t}\kappa((1+p_i)\sup_{0\leq r\leq t_i}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&+(7m^2M^2+7M^2b+7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+7M_b^2b^{2q})\int_0^t\kappa(\sup_{0\leq r\leq s}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2)\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\bar{p}=\max_{1\leq i\leq m}p_i$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{t_i}^{t}\kappa\big((1+p_i)\sup_{0\leq r\leq t_i}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2\big)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leq\int_{t_i}^{t}\kappa\big((1+p_i)\sup_{0\leq r\leq s}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2\big)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leq\int_0^{t}\kappa\big((1+\bar{p})\sup_{0\leq r\leq s}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2\big)\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}
\tag{14}
$$

Obviously $\tilde{\kappa}\circ a(\cdot)=\kappa(a(\cdot))$ is also a concave function, then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^{n+l}(t)-x^{n}(t)|^2\\
&\leq(7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|x^{n+l-1}(s)-x^{n-1}(s)|^2 \qquad (15)\\
&+(14m^2M^2+7M^2b+7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+7M_b^2b^{2q-2})\\
&\times\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\sup_{0\leq r\leq s}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2)\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned}&|x^{n+l}(t)-x^{n}(t)|^2-(7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+7mM^2M^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2\\&\leq(14m^2M^2+7M^2b+7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+7M_b^2b^{2q-2})\qquad(16)\\&\quad\times\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\sup_{0\leq r\leq s}|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2)\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

From lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&|x^{n+l}(t)-x^{n}(t)|^2-(7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)|x^{l+n-1}(r)-x^{n-1}(r)|^2\\&\leq(14m^2M^2+7M^2b+7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+7M_b^2b^{2q-2})\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(2M_1)\mathrm{d}s\\&\leq c_4\tilde{\kappa}(2M_1)t=c_5t.\qquad(17)\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\varphi_1(t)=c_5t,\ \varphi_{n+1}(t)=c_4\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\varphi_n(s))\mathrm{d}s,\ n\geq 1.\qquad(18)$$

Choose $b_1\in[0,b)$ such that $c_4\tilde{\kappa}(c_5t)\leq c_5$, for all $0\leq t\leq b_1$.

We give the statement that for any $t\in[0,b_1)$, $\{\varphi_n(t)\}$ is a decreasing sequence. In fact

$$\varphi_2(t)=c_4\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\varphi_1(s))\mathrm{d}s=c_4\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(c_5s)\mathrm{d}s\leq\int_0^t c_5\mathrm{d}s=\varphi_1(t).$$

By induction, we get

$$\varphi_{n+1}(t)=c_4\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\varphi_n(s))\mathrm{d}s\leq c_4\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\varphi_{n-1}(s))\mathrm{d}s=\varphi_n(t)\quad\forall\,0\leq t\leq b_1.\ (19)$$

Therefore, the statement is true, and we can define the function $\phi(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t)&=\lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}c_4\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\varphi_{n-1}(s))\mathrm{d}s\qquad(20)\\&=\lim_{n\to\infty}c_4\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\phi(s))\mathrm{d}s,\ 0\leq t\leq b_1;\end{aligned}$$

By the Bihari's inequality, we get $\phi(t)=0$ for all $0\leq t\leq b_1$. It means that for all $0\leq t\leq b_1$

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty}[\mathbf{E}|x^{n+l}(t)-x^{n}(t)|^2\\&\quad-(7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)\mathbf{E}|x^{m+l-1}(t)-x^{n-1}(t)|^2]=0.\ (21)\end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption of the theorem $7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i + 7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i < 1$ and (21), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{E}|x^{n+l}(t)-x^n(t)|^2 = 0,\ 0\le t\le b_1, \tag{22}$$

which means that $\{x^n(t)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^2. Let

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}x^n(t) = x(t),$$

obviously

$$\|x(t)\|^2 \le \tilde{M},\ 0\le t\le b_1.$$

Taking limits on both side of equation (8), for all $t\in[0,b_1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) \quad = \quad & S_q(t)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t S_q(s)[x_1 - g(0,\varphi)]\mathrm{d}s + \sum_{t_i<t}S_q(t-t_i)I_i(x_{t_i}) \qquad (23)\\ & + \sum_{t_i<t}\int_{t_i}^t S_q(t-s)[J_i(x_{t_i}) - g(t_i, x_{t_i}+I_i(x_{t_i})) + g(t_i,x_{t_i})]\mathrm{d}s\\ & + \int_0^t S_q(t-s)g(s,x_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t T_q(t-s)f(s,x_s)\mathrm{d}s\\ & + \int_0^t T_q(t-s)\sigma(s,x_s)\mathrm{d}w(s). \end{aligned}$$

So we have presented the existence of the mild solution of problem (1) on $[0,b_1]$. By iteration we can get the existence of the mild solution of problem (1) on $[0,b]$.

Suppose that $x(t)$ and $\bar{x}(t)$ are two solutions of (1). In the similar discussion as (21) we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & [1-(7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i + 7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)]\mathbf{E}|x(t)-\bar{x}(t)|^2 \qquad (24)\\ & \le (14m^2M^2 + 7M^2b + 7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1} + 7M_b^2b^{2q-2})\\ & \quad \times\int_0^t \tilde{\kappa}(\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\le r\le s}|x^{m+n-1}(r) - x^{n-1}(r)|^2)\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

the Bihari inequality implies $\mathbf{E}|x(t)-\bar{x}(t)|^2 = 0$, and we have show the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution of (1). □

4 stability of solutions

In this section, we will give the continuous dependence of solutions on the initial value by means of the Bihari's inequality. We first propose the following the assumption on g instead of (H1), (H4) $g: J\times\mathcal{B}\to H$ satisfies $|g(t,\varphi)-g(t,\phi)|^2\le K_1\|\varphi-\phi\|^2_{\mathcal{B}}$, where K_1 is a positive constant.

Definition 4.1. [23] Assume a mild solution $x^{\varphi,x_1}(t)$ of Cauchy problem (1) with initial value (φ,x_1) stable is said to be stable in square if for all $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\le s\le b}|x^{\varphi,x_1}(s)-y^{\phi,y_1}(s)|\le\epsilon,\quad \text{when}\quad \mathbf{E}\|\varphi-\phi\|^2_{\mathcal{B}}+\mathbf{E}|x_1-y_1|^2<\delta, \tag{25}$$

where $y^{\phi,y_1}(t)$ is another solution of (1) with initial value (ϕ,y_1).

Theorem 4.1. *Assume $21mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+21mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i<1$, the assumption of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied and g satisfied (H4), then the mild solution of (1) is stable in mean square.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the Theorem 18 in [23], we here give only the sketch of the proof. Using the same arguments as in Theorem 3.2, we get for all $0\le t\le b$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\le s\le t}|x^{\varphi,x_1}(s)-y^{\phi,y_1}(s)|^2\\
\le\ & 3\mathbf{E}|S_q(t)\varphi(0)-S_q(t)\phi(0)|^2+3\mathbf{E}|\int_0^t S_q(s)(|x_1-y_1|_H+\|\varphi-\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}})\mathrm{d}s|^2\\
&+3(7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\le s\le t}|x^{\varphi,x_1}(s)-y^{\phi,y_1}(s)|^2\\
&+3(14m^2M^2+7M^2b+7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+7M_b^2b^{2q-2})\\
&\quad\times\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\le r\le s}|x^{\varphi,x_1}(s)-y^{\phi,y_1}(s)|^2)\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\le s\le t}|x^{\varphi,x_1}(s)-y^{\phi,y_1}(s)|\\
\le\ & \frac{\nu}{\Lambda}(|x_1-y_1|^2+\|\varphi-\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}})+\frac{\tilde{\nu}}{\Lambda}\int_0^t\tilde{\kappa}(\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\le r\le s}|x^{\varphi,x_1}(s)-y^{\phi,y_1}(s)|^2)\mathrm{d}s,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu=\max\{6M^2b^2,6M^2b^2K_1+3M^2L^2\}$, $\tilde{\nu}=3(14m^2M^2+7M^2b+7M_b^2\frac{b^{2q-1}}{2q-1}+7M_b^2b^{2q-2})$, and $\Lambda=1-3(7mM^2\sum_{t_i<t}p_i+7mM^2b\sum_{t_i<t}q_i)$. Since the function $\tilde{\kappa}(u)$ is defined in (15) which has the property as in Lemma 2.2. So for any $\epsilon>0$, letting $\epsilon_1=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon$, we have $\lim_{s\to 0}\int_s^{\epsilon_1}\frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}(u)}\mathrm{d}u=\infty$. There exists a positive constant $\delta<\epsilon_1$ such that $\int_\delta^{\epsilon_1}\frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}(u)}\mathrm{d}u\ge T$. Let $u_0=\frac{\nu}{\Lambda}(|x_1-y_1|^2+\|\varphi-\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}})$, $u(t)=\mathbf{E}\sup_{0\le s\le t}|x^{\varphi,x_1}(s)-y^{\phi,y_1}(s)|$, $v(t)=1$. When $u_0\le\delta\le\epsilon_1$, Lemma 2.3 shows that $\int_{u_0}^{\epsilon_1}\frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}(u)}\mathrm{d}u\ge\int_\delta^{\epsilon_1}\frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}(u)}\mathrm{d}u\ge T=\int_0^b v(s)\mathrm{d}s$. So for any $t\in[0,b]$, the estimate $u(t)\le\epsilon_1\le\epsilon$ holds. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

5 Application

In this section, we present an example to show the obtained results. Let $H = L^2([0,\pi])$, let's consider the following initial problem.

$$\begin{cases} D_t^{\alpha}[u_t'(t,x) - \int_{-\infty}^{t}\int_0^{\pi} h(s-t,\eta,x)u(s,\eta)\mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}s] \\ = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(t,x) + f(t,\int_{-\infty}^{0} p_0(s)u(t-s,x)\mathrm{d}s) + \sigma(t,\int_{-\infty}^{0} q_0(s)u(t-s,x))\frac{\mathrm{d}w(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}, \\ \quad t \in J,\, t \neq t_i; \\ \triangle u(t_i,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_i} \bar{p}_i(s-t_i)u(s,x)\mathrm{d}s, \\ \triangle u'(t_i,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_i} \bar{q}_i(s-t_i)\frac{u(s,x)}{1+|u(s,x)|}\mathrm{d}s,\ i=1,2,\ldots,m; \\ u(t,0)=u(t,\pi)=0,\ t\in[0,1]; \quad u(\theta,x)=\varphi(\theta,x),\ \theta\in(-\infty,0], x\in[0,\pi]; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(0,x) = z(x), x\in[0,\pi]. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

We present the abstract phase space $\mathcal{B}$ as,

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\psi : (-\infty,0] \to H : (E|\psi(\theta)|^2)^{1/2} \text{ is a bounded and measurable}$$

$$\text{function on } [-a,0] \text{ and} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \rho(s) \sup_{s\leq\theta\leq 0} (E|\psi(\theta)|^2)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s < \infty\}$$

where $\rho : (-\infty,0] \to (0,\infty)$ is a continuous function with $l = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \rho(t)\mathrm{d}t < \infty$. Let $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \rho(s)\sup_{s\leq\theta\leq 0}(E|\psi(\theta)|^2)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s$, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$, then $(\mathcal{B}, \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a Banach space (see [10]). Obviously the operator $A : H \to H$ by $A = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ with domain $D(A) = \{z \in H : z(0) = z(\pi) = 0\}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuously cosine family[20]. So $\|S_\gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(G,H)} \leq M$, $\|T_\gamma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(G,H)} \leq M_1$. We suppose that

(a) $h(s,\eta,x)$, $\frac{\partial h(s,\eta,x)}{\partial x}$ are measurable, $h(s,\eta,0) = h(s,\eta,\pi) = 0$,

$$L_0 = \max\{[\int_0^{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{\rho(s)}(\frac{\partial^k h(s,\eta,x)}{\partial x^k})^2 \mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}x]^{\frac{1}{2}} : k = 0,1\} < \infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t,\xi) - f(t,\zeta)| &\leq L_1\|\xi-\zeta\|_{\mathcal{B}},\ |\sigma(t,\xi)-\sigma(t,\zeta)| \\ &\leq L_1\|\xi-\zeta\|_{\mathcal{B}},\ t\in[0,1],\ \xi,\zeta\in\mathcal{B}, \end{aligned}$$

where L_1, L_2, are two positive constants.

(b) $\bar{p}_i(\theta) \in C(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}^+)$ and $p_i = (\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{\bar{p}_i^2(\theta)}{\rho(\theta)}\mathrm{d}\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,m$.

(c) $\bar{q}_i(\theta) \in C(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}^+)$ and $q_i = (\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{\bar{q}_i^2(\theta)}{\rho(\theta)}\mathrm{d}\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,m$.

Let $L = \max\{L_0, L_1, L_2\}$, since we can take $\kappa(\vartheta) = L\cdot\vartheta$ which is a concave function as in (H1), so (H1)-(H3) hold.

By computation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{-\infty}^{t}\int_{0}^{\pi} h(s-t,\eta,x)u(s,\eta)\mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}s - \int_{-\infty}^{t}\int_{0}^{\pi} h(s-t,\eta,x)\tilde{u}(s,\eta)\mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}s| \\
&\le L_0\|u(t,\eta)-\tilde{u}(t,\eta)\|_{\mathcal{B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it is obviously $\|u_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le l\sup_{0\le s\le t}(E\|u(s,x)\|^2)^{1/2} + \|\varphi(\theta,x)\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. According to Theorem 3.2 we get the conclusion that when

$$
\max\{7mM^2l\sum_{i=1}^{m}p_i + 14mM^2l\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_i, 7mM^2\sum_{i=1}^{m}p_i + 7mM^2\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_i\} < 1,
$$

problem (26) has a unique mild solution on $(-\infty, 1]$.

References

[1] K. Aissani and M. Benchohra, *Semilinear fractional order integrodifferential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces,* Arch. Math. **49** (2) (2013), 105-117.

[2] T. Caraballo and K. Liu, *Exponential stability of mild solutions of stochastic partial differential equations with delays,* Stoch. Anal. Appl. **17** (5) (1999), 743-763.

[3] J. Dabas and A. Chauhan, *Existence and uniqueness of mild solution for an impulsive neutral fractional integro-differential equation with infinite delay,* Mathematical & Computer Modelling, **57** (2013), 754C763.

[4] T. Diagana, *Existence of almost automorphic solutions to some neutral functional differential equations with infinite delay,* Electron. J. Differential Equations **129** (14) (2008).

[5] H. Dong, Z. Wang and H. Gao, *Distributed H-infinity filtering for a class of Markovian jump nonlinear time-delay systems over lossy sensor networks,* IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics **60** (10) (2013), 4665-4672.

[6] Mahmoud M. El-Borai, *Some probability densities and fundamental solutions of fractional evolution equations,* Chaos. Solitons and Fractals, **14** (3) (2002) 433-440.

[7] T.E. Govindan, *Exponential stability in mean-square of parabolic quasilinear stochastic delay evolution equations,* Stoch. Anal. Appl. **17** (3) (1999) 443-461.

[8] J. K. Hale and S. M. V. Lunel, *Introduction to functional differential equations,* Springer New York 1991.

[9] J. He, *Approximate analytical solution for seepage flow with fractional derivatives in porous media,* Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **167** (1-2) (1998) 57-68.

[10] Yoshiyuki Hino, Satoru Murakami and T. Naito, *Functional differential equations with infinite delay, Springer Berlin,* **1473** (4) (2009) 338-352.

[11] Z. Hou, J. Bao and C. Yuan, *Exponential stability of energy solutions to stochastic partial differential equations with variable delay and jumps,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **366** (1) (2010) 44-54.

[12] V. Lakshmikantham, *Theory of fractional differential equations,* Nonlinear Anal. **60** (10) (2008) 3337-3343.

[13] K. Liu, *Stability of infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations with applications,* Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2006.

[14] Carlos Lizama and G. M. N`Guérékata, *Mild solutions for abstract fractional differential equations,* Appl. Anal. **92** (8) (2013) 1731-1754.

[15] N. I. Mahmudov and M. A. Mckibben, *On backward stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces and optimal control,* Nonlinear Anal. **67** (4) (2007) 1260-1274.

[16] X. Mao, *Adapted solutions of backward stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients,* Stochastic Process. Appl. **58** (2) (1995) 281-292.

[17] X. Mao, *Stochastic differential equations and applications,* Horwood, Chichester, 1997.

[18] G. M. Mophou, *Existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to impulsive fractional differential equations,* Nonlinear Anal. **72** (3-4) (2010) 1604-1615.

[19] G. M. Mophou and G. M. N`Guérékata, *Existence of mild solutions of some semilinear neutral fractional functional evolution equations with infinite delay,* Appl. Math. Comput. **216** (2010) 61-69.

[20] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations,* Springer, New York, 1983.

[21] P. E. Protter, *Stochastic integration and differential equations,* 2nd edn. Spring, New York, 2004.

[22] Y. Qin, N. Xia and H. Gao, *Adapted solutions and continuous dependence for nonlinear stochastic differential equations with terminal condition,* Chinese J. Appl. Probab. Statist. **23** (2007) 273-284.

[23] Y. Ren and R. Sakthivel, *Existence, uniqueness, and stability of mild solutions for second-order neutral stochastic evolution equations with infinite delay and Poisson jumps,* J. Math. Phys. **53** (7) (2012) 073517.

[24] J. Wang and Y. Zhou, *Analysis of nonlinear fractional control systems in Banach spaces* , Nonlinear Anal. **74** (17) (2009) 5929-5942.

[25] S. Xie, *Existence results of mild solutions for impulsive fractional integro-differential evolution equations with infinite delay,* Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **17** (4) (2014) 1158-1174.

[26] L. Zhang, Y. Ding, K. Hao, L. Hu and T. Wang, *Moment stability of fractional stochastic evolution equations with Poisson jumps,* International J. Syst. Sci. **45** (7) (2014) 1539-1547.

SHUFEN ZHAO
Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, PR China
Department of Mathematics , Zhaotong University, Zhaotong 657000,PR China
E-mail address: 12b312003@hit.edu.cn
MINGHUI SONG
Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, PR China
E-mail address: songmh@hit.edu.cn